BEFORE THE STATE OF NEVADA

BOARD OF PHYSICAL THERAPY

In the Matter of

Dr. Susan W. Priestman, DPT

Petitioner

ADVISORY OPINION 24-01

This matter was heard at the State of Nevada Board of Physical Therapy ("Board") regular public meeting via Zoom videoconference on March 15, 2024, and July 25, 2024. Pursuant to the Board's authority under NAC 640.310, the Board considered a petition from Dr. Susan Priestman, DPT, on the issue of whether or not it is within the scope of practice for physical therapists to directly refer patients for diagnostic imaging studies in the State of Nevada. Dr. Priestman availed herself for the hearing and provided background for discussion.

DECISION

Based upon the information provided by Dr. Priestman, DPT, and the ensuing discussion among the Board members reviewing the practice act, they agreed that the practice act is silent on this specific issue. If a physical therapist does a direct referral for diagnostic imaging studies (i.e., x-ray, MRI, CT, Imaging Ultrasound), no NRS or NAC statute has been violated or actionable. Of note is NRS 640.024 "Practice of physical therapy" defined. "Practice of physical therapy":

1. Does include:

(a) The performing and interpreting of tests and measurements as an aid to evaluation or treatment;

(b) The planning of initial and subsequent programs of treatment on the basis of the results of tests;

(c) The administering of treatment through the use of therapeutic exercise and massage, the mobilization of joints by the use of therapeutic exercise without chiropractic adjustment, mechanical devices, and therapeutic agents which employ the properties of air, water, electricity, sound, and radiant

1	energy; and
2	(d) The performance of dry needling, if a physical therapist is qualified to do
3	so pursuant to the regulations adopted in accordance with subsection 3
	of <u>NRS 640.050</u> .
4	2. Does not include:
5	(a) The diagnosis of physical disabilities;
6	(b) The use of roentgenic rays or radium;
7	(c) The use of electricity for cauterization or surgery; or(d) The occupation of a masseur who massages only the superficial soft
8	tissues of the body.
9	(Added to NRS by <u>1981, 931; A1985, 1411; 1993, 2543; 2019, 1586</u>)
10	It is clear that a physical therapist has the duty to interpret tests and measurements
11	to evaluate and formulate a treatment plan that is safe and effective for the patient.
12	Furthermore, physical therapists may not diagnose physical disabilities or use (administer) roentenic rays or radium. However, the use of these modalities may be
13	necessary to substantiate and confirm the physical therapy diagnosis and findings.
14	Finally, salient points contained within the supplied supporting documents for review
15	included numerous peer-reviewed publications which demonstrate that entry-level
16	education for physical therapists supports the educational level and ability to appropriately order diagnostic imaging, that referral frequency/utilization is less than
	that of other healthcare providers, and direct referral by physical therapists decreases
17	healthcare costs and improves access to healthcare.
18	
19	The Board members agreed that the Practice Act does not exclude physical therapists from ordering diagnostic imaging or performing point-of-care ultrasound
20	(POCUS), and the following motion was made and passed unanimously:
21	1. Physical Therapists who have training and competencies to order diagnostic
22	imaging including plane x-rays, MRI, CT scans, and diagnostic ultrasound, are
23	not in violation of the Physical Therapy Practice Act. 2. Referrals for diagnostic imaging are performed and interpreted by other health
	professionals licensed to provide and diagnose imaging.
24	
25	$\Delta \Delta M$
26	nech)
27	Michael S. Laymon, PT, DPT, DSC, OCS, CCS
28	Chair, Nevada Physical Therapy Board